

American Societies for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition [ESPGHAN, NASPGHAN]), the members of which have first-hand experience of this issue.

Financial support

The authors received no financial support to produce this manuscript.

Conflict of interest

There is no conflict of interest to declare.

Please refer to the accompanying ICMJE disclosure forms for further details.

Authors' contributions

EL drafted, wrote and approved this letter; DL, BK, EL reviewed, added content, wrote and approved this letter; BP, AF, SH, MJ, SK, MM, JO, EP & ES critically reviewed and approved this letter.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2022.05.039>.

References

- [1] Mücke MM, Zeuzem S. The recent outbreak of acute severe hepatitis in children of unknown origin – what is known so far. *J Hepatol* 2022 Jul;77(1):237–242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2022.05.001>. Epub 2022 May 6.
- [2] Version 1.0. GOV-12076 UK Health Security Agency Technical briefing: investigation into acute hepatitis of unknown aetiology in children in England. 25 April 2022. Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1071198/acute-hepatitis-technical-briefing-1_4_.pdf.
- [3] Distributed via the CDC Health Alert Network Updated recommendations for adenovirus testing and reporting of children with acute hepatitis of unknown etiology. May 11, 2022. 12:15 PM ET CDCHAN-00465 Available at: <https://emergency.cdc.gov/han/2022/han00465.asp>.
- [4] de Kleine RH, Lexmond WS, Buescher G, Sturm E, Kelly D, Lohse AW, et al. Severe acute hepatitis and acute liver failure of unknown origin in children: a questionnaire-based study within 34 paediatric liver centres in 22 European countries and Israel. *Euro Surveill* April 2022;2022(19):27. pii=2200369.
- [5] Leng M. A trend for decrease of influenza infections in children during the first wave of COVID-19 observed in a Chinese hospital. *J Lab Med* 2021;45(4–5):241–243. <https://doi.org/10.1515/labmed-2021-0069>.
- [6] Williams H. Epidemic jaundice in New York State, 1921–1922. *JAMA* 1923;80(8):532–534. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1923.02640350014007#>.
- [7] Toelen J, Ritz N, de Winter JP. Changes in pediatric infections during the COVID-19 pandemic: 'a quarantrend for coronials'? *Eur J Pediatr* 2021;180:1965–1967.
- [8] World Health Organization. Disease Outbreak News; Acute hepatitis of unknown aetiology - the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern

- Ireland. 15 April 2022. Available at: <https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbreak-news/item/acute-hepatitis-of-unknown-aetiology-the-united-kingdom-of-great-britain-and-northern-ireland>.
- [9] European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. TESSy - the European surveillance: hepatitis of unknown origin reporting protocol. 2022. Available at: Version 1.0. <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/hepatitis-unknown-origin-reporting-protocol-2022>.
 - [10] Robert Koch Institut - Fälle akuter Hepatitis unklarer Ätiologie (non A bis E) bei Kindern. Available at: <https://www.rki.de/DE/Content/Infekt/Ausbrueche/aktuell/Hepatitis-unklarer-Aetiologie.html>.

Eberhard Lurz^{1,2,*}
 Dominic Lenz^{2,3}
 Philip Bifulle^{2,4}
 Alexander Fichtner^{2,3}
 Stephan Henning^{2,4}
 Martin Jankofsky^{2,5}
 Simone Kathemann^{2,6}
 Michael Melter^{2,7}
 Jun Oh^{2,5}
 Eva Doreen Pfister^{2,8}
 Ekkehard Sturm^{2,9}
 Birgit Knoppke^{2,7}
 Elke Lainka^{2,6}

¹University Hospital, LMU Munich, Department of Pediatrics, Munich, Germany

²Working Group Pediatric Liver Transplantation of the German Speaking Society of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (GPGE), Germany

³University Hospital Heidelberg, Centre for Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine, Heidelberg, Germany

⁴University Medicine Charité, Department of Pediatrics, Berlin, Germany

⁵University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Department of Pediatrics, Hamburg, Germany

⁶University Medicine Essen, University Children's Hospital, Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Transplant Medicine, Essen, Germany

⁷University Hospital Regensburg, KUNO University Children's Hospital, Regensburg, Germany

⁸Hannover Medical School, Clinic for Pediatric Kidney, Liver, and Metabolic Diseases, Hannover, Germany

⁹Eberhard Karls University Tübingen, Pediatric Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Children's Hospital, Tübingen, Germany

*Corresponding author. Address: Dr. von Hauner University Children Hospital, LMU Munich, Lindwurmstrasse 4, 80337 Munich, Germany; Tel.: +49 89 4400519060.

E-mail address: Eberhard.Lurz@med.uni-muenchen.de (E. Lurz)



Non-responders to sofosbuvir/velpatasvir/voxilaprevir in the treatment of chronic hepatitis C infection

Keywords: Hepatitis C; sofosbuvir; velpatasvir; voxilaprevir; non-responders.
 Received 23 May 2022; received in revised form 11 June 2022; accepted 20 June 2022;
 available online 05 July 2022
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2022.06.024>

To the Editor:

Although direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) for the treatment of chronic HCV infection are effective with over 95% sustained

virological response (SVR) rates, a minority of patients do not achieve SVR.^{1,2} Virologic failure may be associated with the development of resistance-associated substitutions (RASs) in HCV non-structural (NS) regions.³ Therefore, efficient re-treatment may need to target the NS5A, NS5B, and NS3 proteins. The combination of the second-generation NS3/4A protease-inhibitor (PI) voxilaprevir (VOX), the NS5A-inhibitor velpatasvir (VEL), and the nucleotide polymerase inhibitor sofosbuvir (SOF) are recommended as the preferred salvage regimen following treatment failure with an initial NS5A inhibitor-based DAA regimen, regardless of the presence of RASs.^{4,5} As Dietz *et al.* noted, virological failure after SOF/VEL/VOX treatment is uncommon and therefore limited data are available to guide management of non-responders.¹ We summarized available data regarding the characteristics of SOF/VEL/VOX non-responders and the efficacy of salvage antiviral therapy after SOF/VEL/VOX failure.

We searched the literature indexed in PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science databases on April 17, 2022, without time or language restrictions. Detailed methods are described in the supplement. Of 319 studies identified, 76 met potential eligibility for full-text screening (Fig. S1). Excluding 46 ineligible full-text records, 30 studies with 244 SOF/VEL/VOX non-responders remained for manual data extraction (Table S3).

Characteristics of SOF/VEL/VOX non-responders included mean age of 58.5 years (min–max age: 35–77 years) and male sex in 78.2%. The HCV genotype (GT) was GT1 in 57.7% (1a: 43, 1b: 22, and unspecified: 66), GT2 in 3.1%, GT3 in 33.5%, GT4 in 5.3%, and GT5 in 0.4% of patients. Complete data regarding prior treatment were available in 226 patients, 71.7% and 4% of whom were DAA- and PEGylated interferon/ribavirin-experienced, respectively. Patients were treated

with SOF/VEL/VOX for 4 (n = 11), 6 (n = 29), 8 (n = 39), 12 (n = 144), 16 (n = 1), and 24 weeks (n = 2). Fifteen patients (6.6%) received ribavirin. The individual characteristics of these 244 patients are shown in Table S6.

Among 144 patients with NS5A RAS testing prior to SOF/VEL/VOX therapy, 101 (70.1%) had a documented NS5A RAS. Among 150 patients with NS5A RAS data after SOF/VEL/VOX treatment failure, 109 (72.7%) had a documented NS5A RAS. NS3 RASs were present in 54 (47.4%) of 114 patients at baseline and 66 (45.5%) of 145 patients at the time of failure (Table S4).

There are no randomized controlled trials and few published reports (6 studies with 38 patients) addressing rescue treatment after SOF/VEL/VOX failure (Table 1). Of 32 SOF/VEL/VOX non-responders treated in 6 studies^{1,3,6–9} with glecaprevir/pibrentasvir (GLE/PIB) (± sofosbuvir (SOF) and/or ± ribavirin for 12, 16, or 24 weeks), 28 (87.5%) achieved SVR12 (1 patient achieved SVR4 and died prior to SVR 12, 2 patients relapsed, and 1 other patient died). One patient had RAS testing after unsuccessful rescue treatment with GLE/PIB + SOF + ribavirin for 16 weeks; NS5A RASs (A30K, L31F, Y93H) were detected, no NS3 or NS5B RASs were detected.¹ All 4 patients in 1 cohort¹ who were re-treated with SOF/VEL/VOX (± ribavirin for 24 weeks) achieved SVR. Re-treatment with SOF/VEL + ribavirin for 24 weeks in 2 other SOF/VEL/VOX non-responders resulted in virologic relapse.^{1,9} One subsequently achieved SVR after further re-treatment with GLE/PIB + SOF + ribavirin for 12 weeks.⁹ The other SOF/VEL + ribavirin retreatment virologic relapse had an NS5A RAS (Y93H), no NS3 or NS5B RASs were detected.¹

Due to incomplete individual patient data, we were limited in examining predictors of response vs. non-response to SOF/VEL/VOX. Also, adverse events associated with SOF/VEL/VOX therapy

Table 1. The characteristics of 38 re-treated sofosbuvir/velpatasvir/voxilaprevir non-responder patients.

First author	Sex	Age, yr	HCV genotype	Cirrhosis	Re-treatment regimen	Outcome
D. Garcia-Cehic ³	2: Male	70	1: 1b	1: No	1: GLE/PIB + RBV (12 w)	1: Relapse
		60	1: 3a	1: Yes	1: GLE/PIB + SOF + RBV (12 w)	1: SVR
B. Bernhard ⁶	1: Female	50	3a	No	GLE/PIB + SOF + RBV (24 w)	1: SVR
M. Meszaros ⁷	4: Males	56.5 [†]	1: 1b	4: Yes	5: GLE/PIB + SOF + RBV (16 w)	5: SVR [‡]
	1: Female		3: 3	1: No		
			1: 4d			
M. T. Martin ⁸	5: Male	67	1b	5: Yes	4: GLE/PIB + SOF (16 w)	6: SVR
	1: Female	60	3a		2: GLE/PIB + SOF (24 w)	
		61	3			
		68	1a			
		68	1b			
		58	1a			
T. L. Tergast ⁹	2: Females	63	2: 3	2: Yes	1: SOF/VEL + RBV (24 w)	1: Relapse then SVR
		52			then GLE/PIB + SOF + RBV (12 w)	1: SVR
					1: GLE/PIB + SOF (12 w)	
J. Dietz ^{1,10}	22: ND	59.0 [†]	6: 1a	15: Yes	1: GLE/PIB (12 w)	17: SVR
			3: 1b	7: No	1: GLE/PIB + RBV (12 w)	2: Relapse
			12: 3a		4: GLE/PIB + SOF (12 w)	1: Pending*
			1: 4d		1: GLE/PIB + SOF (16 w)	1: Death
					2: GLE/PIB + SOF (24 w)	1: SVR4, death
					2: GLE/PIB + SOF + RBV (12 w)	
					4: GLE/PIB + SOF + RBV (16 w)	
					2: GLE/PIB + SOF + RBV (24 w)	
					3: SOF/VEL/VOX (24 w)	
					1: SOF/VEL/VOX + RBV (24 w)	
					1: SOF/VEL + RBV (24 w)	

Data are the number of patients (n:) and their characteristics.

GLE, glecaprevir; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; ND, not determined; PIB, pibrentasvir; RBV, ribavirin; SOF, sofosbuvir; SVR, sustained virological response; VEL, velpatasvir; VOX, voxilaprevir.

[†]Mean age of the study population.

[‡]Two patients died post-SVR (one HCC metastasis, one non-liver-related cause).

*The authors mentioned SVR12 in an updated report.

and its rescue treatment regimens were not evaluated in this study. However, we identified that among 244 SOF/VEL/VOX non-responders, a majority were infected with HCV genotypes GT1 (58%) and GT3 (34%), nearly two-thirds (60%) had cirrhosis, more than two-thirds had a previous history of treatment with DAAs, and a few had received ribavirin. Despite the contraindication to use of PIs according to current treatment guidelines,^{4,5} the SOF/VEL/VOX regimen was prescribed in a small number of patients with decompensated cirrhosis.

Pre-treatment evaluation for re-treatment in SOF/VEL/VOX treatment failures should include an assessment for potential drug-drug interactions. Consideration should also be given to adding weight-based ribavirin to regimens of GLE/PIB ± SOF or SOF/VEL/VOX, and extension of treatment duration as these may increase the chance of successful rescue treatment. Consistent with available evidence, EASL and AASLD guidelines recommend adding weight-based ribavirin for patients with cirrhosis with HCV GT3 infection previously treated with NS5A inhibitors.^{4,5} Further investigations into the role of RAS testing to guide individualized approaches to salvage therapy are needed to support evidence-based guidelines. Available data support current guidelines for the re-treatment of SOF/VEL/VOX non-responders with SOF + GLE/PIB ± ribavirin or SOF/VEL/VOX ± ribavirin for 12–24 weeks.^{4,5}

Financial support

The authors received no financial support to produce this manuscript.

Conflict of interest

HK, MSR, none; OF, research funds paid to Johns Hopkins University (Abbvie); JKL, research contracts (to Yale University): Allergan, Celgene, Eiger, Genfit, Intercept, Pfizer, Viking.

Please refer to the accompanying ICMJE disclosure forms for further details.

Authors' contributions

Idea development: JKL, OF, and HK; Data collection: HK and MSR; Drafting the manuscript: HK and MSR; Critical revision of the manuscript: JKL and OF.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2022.06.024>.

References

- [1] Dietz J, Di Maio VC, de Salazar A, Merino D, Vermehren J, Paolucci S, et al. Failure on voxilaprevir, velpatasvir, sofosbuvir and efficacy of rescue therapy. *J Hepatol* 2021;74:801–810.
- [2] Sarrazin C. Treatment failure with DAA therapy: importance of resistance. *J Hepatol* 2021;74:1472–1482.
- [3] Garcia-Cehic D, Rando A, Rodriguez-Frias F, Gregori J, Costa JG, Carrión JA, et al. Resistance-associated substitutions after sofosbuvir/velpatasvir/voxilaprevir triple therapy failure. *J Viral Hepat* 2021;28:1319–1324.
- [4] Pawlotsky J-M, Negro F, Aghemo A, Berenguer M, Dalgard O, Dusheiko G, et al. EASL recommendations on treatment of hepatitis C: final update of the series. *J Hepatol* 2020;73:1170–1218.
- [5] HCV Guidance: Recommendations for testing, managing, and treating hepatitis C: Retreatment of persons in whom prior therapy failed. January 21, 2021 [cited April 20, 2022]; Available from: <https://www.hcvguidelines.org/treatment-experienced>.
- [6] Bernhard B, Stickel F. Successful fourth line treatment of a relapse patient with chronic hepatitis C virus infection genotype 3a using sofosbuvir, glecaprevir/pibrentasvir, and ribavirin: a case report. *Z Gastroenterologie* 2020;58:451–455.
- [7] Meszaros M, Truchi R, Ouzan D, Tran A, Bourlière M, Pageaux G-P. Sofosbuvir, Glecaprevir, Pibrentasvir, and Ribavirin as a rescue therapy in difficult-to-treat HCV patients. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md)* 2021;74:2304–2306.
- [8] Martin MT, Patel S, Kulik L, Chan C. Glecaprevir/pibrentasvir+ sofosbuvir+ ribavirin offers high cure rate for hepatitis C virus retreatment in real-world settings. *J Hepatol* 2021.
- [9] Tergast TL, Kordecki N, Ohlendorf V, Beier C, Sandmann L, Wedemeyer H, et al. Glecaprevir/pibrentasvir + sofosbuvir + ribavirin as a salvage regimen after Sofosbuvir + Velpatasvir + Voxilaprevir re-treatment failure. *Z Gastroenterol* 2021.
- [10] Dietz J, Sarrazin C. Reply to "Glecaprevir/pibrentasvir+ sofosbuvir+ ribavirin offers high cure rate for hepatitis C virus retreatment in real-world settings". *J Hepatol* 2021:S0168-8278 (0121) 00252-X.

Hamidreza Karimi-Sari¹
 Mohammad Saeid Rezaee-Zavareh¹
 Oluwaseun Falade-Nwulia²
 Joseph K. Lim^{3,*}

¹Middle East Liver Diseases Center, Tehran, Iran

²Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

³Yale Liver Center, Section of Digestive Diseases, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

*Corresponding author. Address: Yale Liver Center, Section of Digestive Diseases, Yale University School of Medicine, 333 Cedar Street, LMP 1080, New Haven, CT 06520-8019, USA.
 Tel.: +1- 203-737-6063, Fax: +1-203-785-7273.
 E-mail address: joseph.lim@yale.edu (J.K. Lim)



Internet search engines and social media are improving awareness on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in Brazil

To the Editor:

The authors read with great interest the recently published manuscript by Lazarus *et al.*,¹ highlighting that no nation is properly tackling the emerging global challenge of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). To our knowledge, this is

Keywords: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; awareness; social media; knowledge.
 Received 26 April 2022; received in revised form 22 May 2022; accepted 14 June 2022;
 available online 08 July 2022
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2022.06.020>