

# NASH cirrhosis trials and major adverse liver outcomes: Big data needed

Mazen Noureddin<sup>1</sup>, Stephen A. Harrison<sup>2,\*</sup>

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2022.07.004>

Although non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) has become the most common cause of chronic liver disease and cirrhosis, approved therapies for NAFLD are not yet accessible.<sup>1,2</sup> The NAFLD spectrum is distributed into 1) non-alcoholic fatty liver (NAFL or steatosis); 2) the progressive form, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH); and 3) NAFLD or NASH with various stages of fibrosis (F0–F4).<sup>3,4</sup> Natural history studies have informed us about the histological progression and regression of this disease. Studies have shown that individuals with NASH and significant fibrosis ( $\geq$ F2) are more prone to develop major adverse liver outcomes (MALOs), and this risk is at its peak in individuals with F3 and F4 fibrosis.<sup>5,6</sup> MALOs are usually defined by the occurrence of overt ascites, overt hepatic encephalopathy, variceal bleeding, liver transplantation, or liver-related death.<sup>7</sup> In addition, individuals with compensated cirrhosis (F4) can be divided into those with or without portal hypertension; the former are more likely to progress to decompensated cirrhosis.<sup>7</sup>

Over the last two decades, phase III randomized clinical trials (RCTs) have focused on two main histological outcomes, *i.e.* resolution of NASH without worsening fibrosis and improvement of fibrosis without worsening of NASH.<sup>1</sup> MALOs have been proposed as primary efficacy endpoints in addition to histological outcomes; however, histological outcomes are more commonly used in trials for individuals with NASH and F2–F3 fibrosis, as they allow for faster assessment of drug efficacy with a smaller sample size. On the other hand, a recent update in regulatory guidance has been proposed, in which two parallel phase III studies can be conducted; one in F2–F3 NASH patients using histological outcomes, and another trial in well compensated NASH cirrhosis where MALOs can be used as a primary efficacy endpoint.<sup>8</sup> This approach can lead to faster full approval of the drug (moving forward from subpart-H), rather than waiting for

patients in the F2–F3 trial to reach MALOs, which would require a much longer-duration study.<sup>8</sup>

Meta-analyses have informed us about the rate of resolution of NASH or improvement in fibrosis in the placebo arm<sup>9,10</sup> and, recently, on the rates of progression and regression histologically.<sup>4</sup> However, data regarding MALOs in NAFLD and NAFLD cirrhosis are lacking, as long-duration natural history trials are required. In the recent era, these data have come mainly from RCTs<sup>11–14</sup> and a large observational study with a median follow-up of 4 years.<sup>5</sup>

In a recent issue of the *Journal*, Allen *et al.* performed an important retrospective analysis in the Mayo health system that examined 5,123 individuals with NAFLD over a median follow-up of 6.4 years (range 1–23 years), which is the longest duration in which the incidence of MALOs in individuals with NAFLD has been studied.<sup>15</sup> The authors followed a multistep modeling approach for NAFLD transition, in which 6 states defined transitions between non-consecutive states: State 1, NAFLD without cirrhosis; State 2, compensated cirrhosis; State 3, first decompensation, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), or liver transplantation; State 4, two or more decompensations, HCC, or liver transplantation; and State 5, death. State 6, which is transition to another liver disease and transition to death was excluded from the analysis as it no longer represents the natural history of NAFLD. It is important to highlight that the authors have followed a rigorous methodology, going beyond the traditional methods in epidemiological studies (using ICD codes alone), and using multiple steps to confirm the diagnosis. These steps included natural-language processing, reviewing charts extensively (such as confirming the HCC diagnosis via ICD codes with chart review), and using non-invasive testing followed by chart review to confirm cirrhosis (*e.g.* using FIB-4 of  $>2.67$  to confirm cirrhosis status). These approaches are imperative to overcome biases introduced when

\* Corresponding author. Address: Pinnacle Research Center, San Antonio, Texas, USA.

E-mail address: [stephenharrison87@gmail.com](mailto:stephenharrison87@gmail.com) (S.A. Harrison).

Received 7 September 2022; received in revised form 18 October 2022; accepted 21 October 2022; available online xxx <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2022.10.022>



Table 1. Clinical Trials using MALO as an endpoint.

Study	Follow-up duration	Patient stages	Progression to cirrhosis	Progression to MALOs	Additional criteria for MALOs*
<b>Randomized-controlled trials</b>					
Somituzumab trials	2.57 years	F3-F4	~9%/year	~7.4%/year	2-point increase in CP score and/or MELD $\geq 15$ and development of varices and HCC
Selonsertib trials	1.375 years	F3-F4	9.5%-11.6%/year	~2.3%/year	MELD $\geq 15$ and HCC
Galectin-3 study	1 year	F4 with HVPG $\geq 6$	N/A	~18.1%/year	Development of MELD $\geq 15$ or CP $\geq 2$ , development of varices or progression from small to large varices
<b>Observational study</b>					
The NASH CRN cohort	4 years (2.1-7.4)	F0-F4	Not mentioned	Hepatic encephalopathy in 2.39 per 100 persons a year, ascites in 1.20, variceal bleeding in 0.70, HCC in 0.14 and death from any cause in 1.76.	MELD $\geq 15$ and HCC were assessed
<b>Real-world cohort</b>					
Mayo Health System cohort	6.4 years (1-23)	F0-F4 including decompensated cirrhosis	n.a.	~8%/year	Bilirubin $> 1.5$ mg/dl and HCC

MALOs, major adverse liver outcomes; CP, Child-Pugh; HVPG, hepatic venous pressure gradient; MELD, model for end-stage liver disease; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; NASH-CRN, NASH-Clinical Research Network  
 \*MALOs are usually defined as the occurrence of overt ascites, overt hepatic encephalopathy, variceal bleeding, liver transplantation, or liver-related death.

ICD codes alone are used. On the other hand, these proposed “States” fall short, as they cannot identify various degrees of fibrosis preceding cirrhosis (F0-F4). Nor can they identify cirrhosis at its first incidence, as cirrhosis is often asymptomatic, and liver biopsy or non-invasive testing are usually required to identify cirrhosis initially. Nevertheless, the study has focused on the incidence of MALOs, which manifest immediately upon incidence and are easier to detect.

In addition to MALOs, the authors included bilirubin  $> 1.5$  mg/dl as a qualifying MALO event<sup>7</sup> (a value lower than that used previously) but not the model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score, as it could be affected by other conditions. The authors have also examined the incidence of death, which was high in this enriched cohort, reaching 575 deaths, 6% of which were liver related. Allen *et al.*<sup>15</sup> found that the rate of transition from NAFLD to cirrhosis was 3% in 15 years; compensated cirrhosis to first decompensation was 33% in 4 years (8%/year); first decompensation to two or more was 47% in 2 years (~23%/year). Not surprisingly, albumin, bilirubin, non-bleeding esophageal varices, and diabetes were independent predictors of decompensation with c-statistics of ~0.75. Importantly, the authors used their data to calculate the required sample size to detect a  $\geq 15\%$  relative decrease in clinical liver endpoints (MALOs) in cirrhosis trials, and found it to be 2,886 individuals followed over 2 years. The current study has added to the scattered natural history that examined MALOs in the NAFLD population.

In the simtuzumab study,<sup>10</sup> the rate of progression from F3 (the study did not include F0-2) to cirrhosis was 22% over 29 months, or about ~9%/year. On the other hand, the rate of MALOs was 19% over 30.9 months (~7.4%/year) in individuals with compensated cirrhosis.<sup>11</sup> Importantly, this varied by Child-Pugh (CP) scores (overall: 8.9 per 100 person-years [95% CI 6.7-11.7]; CP-A5: 5.5 per 100 person-years [95% CI 3.7-8.1]; CP-A6: 20.7 per 100 person-years [95% CI 13.2-32.4]). The definition of MALOs included the traditional factors in addition to  $\geq 2$ -point increase in CP score and/or MELD  $\geq 15$  and development of varices.<sup>11</sup> The most common MALO event was ascites (7%), followed by hepatic encephalopathy (5%) and esophageal variceal bleeding (3%); the prevalence of events were consistent with those reported by Allen *et al.*<sup>15</sup>

In the RCT with selonsertib,<sup>11,16</sup> histologic progression to cirrhosis (from F3) occurred in 13% of patients receiving the drug at the dose of 18 mg, 16% receiving 6 mg, and 16% receiving placebo over a duration of 16.5 months (9.5%-11.6%/year). Among MALOs in the compensated cirrhosis population, 3% (27 patients) of the population developed MALOs over 15.8 months: ascites (n = 13); hepatic encephalopathy (n = 7); portal hypertensive bleeding (n = 4); qualified for liver transplantation (n = 4); and transplantation (n = 1). HCC was diagnosed in 0.5% of patients.

Data on MALOs is also available in the RCT with galectin,<sup>12</sup> which included individuals with cirrhosis and evidence of portal hypertension (confirmed by portal pressure measurements). In this study, MALOs occurred at a rate of 18.1% over 1 year.<sup>13</sup> Development of MELD  $\geq 15$  or CP  $\geq 2$ , and the development of varices or progression from small to large varices were added to the traditional MALO events. This study may reflect the inclusion of sicker patients, as they were required to have evidence of portal hypertension to enter the study while the study by Allen *et al.*<sup>15</sup> did not separate individuals with cirrhosis into those with or without portal hypertension. The finding of an 18.1% rate of

decompensation in those with portal hypertension in the galectin study is between the 8%/year rate of decompensation in the cirrhosis group and the 23%/year in the cirrhosis with decompensation group presented in the study by Allen *et al.*<sup>15</sup>

In the emricasan RCT in individuals with cirrhosis,<sup>13</sup> MALO events included all-cause mortality, new decompensation events, or  $\geq 4$ -point MELD-Na score progression. Overall, 37.4% of individuals experienced 80 first events at the time of the primary analysis. However, most events were related to  $\geq 4$ -point MELD-Na score progression, and solid conclusions cannot be made from this study.

Finally, the prospective observational study by Sanyal *et al.*<sup>4</sup> found that individuals with biopsy-proven cirrhosis developed hepatic encephalopathy at a rate of 2.39 per 100 persons a year, ascites in 1.20/100, variceal bleeding in 0.70/100, HCC in 0.14/100, and death from any cause in 1.76/100. The median duration for this study was 4 years (interquartile range, 2.1 to

7.4). Patients were likely monitored closely in this study, with non-invasive tests, biopsies, and treatments; an earlier identification of cirrhosis and better management are expected in such an observational study. A summary of the current literature on MALOs in cirrhosis is presented in Table 1.

In summary, the current study adds to the understanding of the natural history of NAFLD and its progression to cirrhosis and decompensation. The study is the first to be conducted in a large, real-world cohort of individuals with NAFLD. It highlights the need for large sample sizes to conduct cirrhosis trials with MALOs as the primary outcome. Such trials will be impossible to conduct with biopsy as an entry criterion. Fortunately, non-invasive tests are a great alternative to liver biopsy in diagnosing cirrhosis and can be used as an inclusion criterion. Studies of pharmacological agents to assess changes in MALOs in cirrhosis are urgently needed, and it is anticipated they will enroll large numbers of patients.

## Affiliations

<sup>1</sup>Houston Research Institute, Houston, Texas, USA, <sup>2</sup>Pinnacle Research Center, San Antonio Texas, USA

## Financial support

No financial support for this editorial.

## Conflict of interest

SAH: Consultant: Akero Therapeutics, Inc., Axcella Health, Inc., Cirus Therapeutics, Inc., Corcept, Cymabay Therapeutics, Inc., Enyo Pharma S.A. Galectin Therapeutics, Inc., Genfit Corp, Gilead Sciences, Inc., Hepion Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Hightide Therapeutics, Inc., Intercept Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Inventiva, Madrigal Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Metacrine Inc., NGM Biopharmaceuticals Inc., Northsea Therapeutics, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp, Novo Nordisk, Poxel, Sagimet Biosciences, Terns, Viking Therapeutics, Inc. Advisory Board / Panel: Akero Therapeutics, Inc., Altimune, Arrowhead, Axcella Health, Inc., Chronwell, Civi, Cymabay Therapeutics, Inc., Echosens North America Inc., Foresite Labs, LLC, Galectin Therapeutics, Inc., Genfit Corp, Gilead Sciences, Inc., Hepion Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Hightide Therapeutics, Inc., HistoIndex PTE LTD, Intercept Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Madrigal Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Medpace Inc., Metacrine Inc., NGM Biopharmaceuticals, Northsea Therapeutics B.V., Novartis Pharmaceuticals, Novo Nordisk, PathAI, Poxel, Sagimet Biosciences, Sonic Incytes Medical Corp, Terns Inc., Theratechnologies. Stock / Shares (self-managed): Akero Therapeutics, Inc., Chronwell Inc., Cirus Therapeutics, Inc., Galectin Therapeutics, Inc., Genfit Corp, Hepion Pharmaceuticals Inc., HistoIndex PTE LTD, Metacrine Inc., NGM Biopharmaceuticals., Northsea Therapeutics B.V.

MN: Advisory Board: Altimune, BI, BMS, 89BIO, EchoSens, Gilead, GSK, Merck, Novo Nordisk, OWL, Pfizer, Roche diagnostic and Siemens, Terns and Takeda. Principal Investigator for a Drug Study: Allergan, Akero, BMS, Gilead, Galectin, Genfit, Conatus, Corcept, Enanta, Madrigal, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Shire, Terns, Viking and Zydus. Stockholder: Anaetos, Rivus Pharma, CIMA, ChronWell and Viking.

Please refer to the accompanying ICMJE disclosure form for further details.

## Authors' contributions

Both authors contributed to the writing of this editorial.

## Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2022.10.022>.

## References

- [1] Chew N, Ng CH, Truong E, Nouredin M, Kowdley KV. Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis drug development pipeline: an update. *Semin Liver Dis* 2022 Aug;42(3):379–400. <https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1877-9656>.
- [2] Setiawan VW, Stram DO, Porcel J, Lu SC, Marchand LL, Nouredin M. Prevalence of chronic liver disease and cirrhosis by underlying cause in understudied ethnic groups: the multiethnic cohort. *Hepatology* 2016;64:1969–1977.
- [3] Nouredin M, Sanyal AJ. Pathogenesis of NASH: the impact of multiple pathways. *Curr Hepatol Rep* 2018;17:350–360.
- [4] Le P, Payne JY, Zhang L, Deshpande A, Rothberg MB, Alkhouri N, et al. Disease state transition probabilities across the spectrum of NAFLD: A systematic review and meta-analysis of paired biopsy or imaging studies. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2022 Aug 4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2022.07.033>.
- [5] Sanyal AJ, Van Natta ML, Clark J, Neuschwander-Tetri B, Diehl AM, Dasarathy S, et al. Prospective study of outcomes in adults with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *N Engl J Med* 2021;385:1559–1569.
- [6] Taylor RS, Taylor RJ, Bayliss S, Hagstrom H, Nasr P, Schattenberg JM, et al. Association between fibrosis stage and outcomes of patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology* 2020;158:1611–1625 e12.
- [7] European Association for the Study of the Liver. Electronic address eee, European Association for the Study of the L. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of patients with decompensated cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 2018;69:406–460.
- [8] Anania FA, Dimick-Santos L, Mehta R, Toerner J, Beitz J. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: current thinking from the division of hepatology and nutrition at the food and drug administration. *Hepatology* 2021;73:2023–2027.
- [9] Han MAT, Altayar O, Hamdeh S, Takyar V, Rotman Y, Etzion O, et al. Rates of and factors associated with placebo response in trials of pharmacotherapies for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019;17:616–629 e26.
- [10] Ng CH, Xiao J, Lim WH, Chin YH, Yong JN, Tan DJH, et al. Placebo effect on progression and regression in NASH: Evidence from a meta-analysis. *Hepatology* 2022;75:1647–1661.
- [11] Sanyal AJ, Harrison SA, Ratzliff V, Abdelmalek MF, Diehl AM, Caldwell S, et al. The natural history of advanced fibrosis due to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: data from the simtuzumab trials. *Hepatology* 2019;70:1913–1927.
- [12] Harrison SA, Wong VW, Okanoue T, Bzowej N, Vuppalanchi R, Younes Z, et al. Selonsertib for patients with bridging fibrosis or compensated cirrhosis due to NASH: results from randomized phase III STELLAR trials. *J Hepatol* 2020;73:26–39.
- [13] Chalasani N, Abdelmalek MF, Garcia-Tsao G, Vuppalanchi R, Alkhouri N, Rinella M, et al. Effects of belapectin, an inhibitor of galectin-3, in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis with cirrhosis and portal hypertension. *Gastroenterology* 2020;158:1334–1345 e5.
- [14] Frenette C, Kayali Z, Mena E, Mantry PS, Lucas KJ, Neff G, et al. Emricasan to prevent new decompensation in patients with NASH-related decompensated cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 2021;74:274–282.
- [15] Allen AM, Therneau TM, Ahmed OT, Gidener T, Mara KC, Larson JJ, et al. Clinical course of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and the implications for clinical trial design. *J Hepatol* 2022 Nov;77(5):1237–1245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2022.07.004>. Epub 2022 Jul 16.
- [16] Rinella ME, Nouredin M. STELLAR 3 and STELLAR 4: lessons from the fall of Icarus. *J Hepatol* 2020;73:9–11.